ENHANCEMENT REQUIREMENTS – P. L. MELANOCHAITA

Effective January 22, 2016, in a Final Rule, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service listed the African lion under the Endangered Species Act. The *P.I. leo* subspecies is listed as endangered and the *P.I. melanochaita* subspecies is listed as threatened with a special rule governing import of lion parts, including hunting trophies.

In a section discussing this special rule, FWS listed the general issuance criteria for threatened species permits and then listed specific criteria that FWS will consider in evaluating if a country's lion management program "enhances the survival of the species," such that an import permit would be authorized. 80 Fed. Reg. 80000, 80046 (Dec. 23, 2015):

- "how a country's management program for lions addresses the three main threats have led to the decline of the subspecies: Habitat loss, loss of prey base, and human-lion conflict
- whether the program is based on sound scientific information and identifies mechanisms that
 would arrest the loss of habitat or increase available habitat (i.e., by establishing protected areas
 and ensuring adequate protection from human encroachment
- whether the management program actively address the loss of the lion's prey base by addressing [bushmeat] poaching or unsustainable offtake within the country
- whether there are government incentives in place that encourage habitat protection by private landowners and communities and incentives to local communities to reduce the incursion of livestock into protected areas or to actively manage livestock to reduce conflicts with lions
- whether hunting concessions / tracts are managed to ensure the long-term survival of the lion, its prey base, and habitat
- if the trophy hunting provides financial assistance to the wildlife department to carry out elements of the management program
- if there is a compensation scheme or other incentives to benefit communities that may be impacted by lion predation
- how a U.S. hunter's participation in the hunting program contributes to the overall management of lions within a country
- [m]anagement programs ... would be expected to address, but are not limited to: evaluating population levels and trends; the biological needs of the species; quotas; management practices; legal protection; local community involvement; and use of hunting fees for conservation"

How can a range nation evidence these criteria? Going back through the sections of the Final Rule, FWS identifies best practices and criticizes some practices. I took the criteria identified by FWS and used them to organize examples of best practices given in the Final Rule which could demonstrate that a range nation appropriately manages its lion population.

It is crucial to note that a range nation's lion management program must fulfill FWS' criteria from p. 80046 (quoted above), but does <u>not</u> need to incorporate all best practices identified in the tables below. These are just examples of what a range nation could do. There are surely more examples in the literature, and there may be regional strategies that would satisfy FWS but which FWS did not mention in the Final Rule. However, it seems that since FWS identified the best practices below as indicative of a well-managed lion conservation and sustainable use program, the more boxes a country can check below, the more likely it would be that lion trophies could be imported from that country. These are not

The first table below lists the specific criteria identified by FWS, then lists best practices that <u>may</u> satisfy each of the criteria. The second table is a more detailed version. It identifies representative references FWS cites (in case one wished to obtain additional information about the practice from the source), and lists any countries that FWS identifies as already complying with the practice or as not currently complying with the practice. The third table adds criteria from the Proposed Rule, 79 Fed. Reg. 64472 (Oct. 29, 2014). Although these are not binding, FWS could consider them in making an enhancement finding, as it has already defined and described them in the Proposed Rule.

Again, all of these boxes do <u>not</u> need to be checked for a country to demonstrate enhancement. These are possible examples for how enhancement may be shown. Similar or comparable practices could also satisfy the general FWS criteria.

Lion Management Criteria 1:

• "whether the program is based on sound scientific information and identifies mechanisms that would arrest the loss of habitat or increase available habitat (i.e., by establishing protected areas and ensuring adequate protection from human encroachment"

#	Practices	Page(s)
1	Hunting revenue is used to "build and maintain fences, provide security personnel with weapons and vehicles,	
	provide resources for anti-poaching activities, and provide[] resources for habitat acquisition and management"	
2	Efforts are made to encourage habitat connectivity and development of buffer zones around protected areas (which	80018, 80034,
	in turn encourages dispersal and genetic viability)	80036
3	Community incentives are strong enough to encourage development of wildlife/game management areas	80017, 80035
4	Appropriate efforts are made to reduce human encroachment into protected areas	80034
5	The national lion management plan is based on scientifically sound data; the plan is being implemented to address	80054
	threats facing lion within that country; and the plan's implementation is tracked and documented	

Lion Management Criteria 2:

• "whether the management program actively address the loss of the lion's prey base by addressing [bushmeat] poaching or unsustainable offtake within the country"

#	Practices	Page(s)
1	Laws against bushmeat poaching are consistently enforced	80011
2	Penalties for bushmeat poaching are deterrent	80011
3	Hunting program is science-based and adaptively managed	80019-21
4	Hunting operators assist in reducing human-wildlife conflict and retaliatory killing by helping avoid depredation	80012

Lion Management Criteria 3:

 "whether there are government incentives in place that encourage habitat protection by private landowners and communities and incentives to local communities to reduce the incursion of livestock into protected areas or to actively manage livestock to reduce conflicts with lions"

#	Practices Practi	Page(s)		
1	Communities are given rights over land to conservation wildlife			
2	Communities develop alternate industries to reduce their dependence on bushmeat	80035		
3	Communities "assist in the management of protected areas," which gives them "a direct stake in the management	80035		
	of wildlife areas" and opens some economic opportunities			

Lion Management Criteria 4:

• "whether hunting concessions / tracts are managed to ensure the long-term survival of the lion, its prey base, and habitat"

#	Practices	Page(s)
1	Hunting concessions are allocated after considering the "operator's track record in conservation"	80022
2	Hunting concessions are allocated according to a "fully transparent system" that allows international "hunters to choose operators who have demonstrated a commitment to conservation principles" and which "provide[s]	80020
	incentives for operators to comply with the recommended best practices"	

Lion Management Criteria 5:

• "if the trophy hunting provides financial assistance to the wildlife department to carry out elements of the management program"

#	Practices	Page(s)
1	Hunting revenue accrues to the wildlife authority for management activities	80018, 80051,
		80054
2	The wildlife authority's budgeting and spending is transparent	80019-20

Lion Management Criteria 6:

• "if there is a compensation scheme or other incentives to benefit communities that may be impacted by lion predation"

#	Practices	Page(s)
1	Community compensation and benefits sharing is transparent	80018
2	Community benefits are incorporated into a country's hunting regulations, land management policies, and lion conservation action plans and National Poverty Reduction Strategies	
3	Communities actually receive a significant share of revenues from non-consumptive and consumptive uses of wildlife, rather than the government retaining a "significant" share	80011, 80014, 80017-18
4	Communities benefit from provision of game meat (perhaps as required by law / lease)	80018
5	Communities benefit from the trophy hunting industry by employment opportunities and revenue generated for local microbusinesses (perhaps as required by law / lease)	80018

Lion Management Criteria 7:

• "how a U.S. hunter's participation in the hunting program contributes to the overall management of lions within a country"

#	Practices	Page(s)
1	Hunting data is collected, recorded, and analyzed by block and concession	80019

2	Monitoring systems are in place and provide consistent reporting	80019
3	"Importing countries should have the ability to ascertain that the imported trophies originated from hunting	80020
	concessions that fully comply with best practices"	

Lion Management Criteria 8:

• "[m]anagement programs ... would be expected to address ... evaluating population levels and trends; the biological needs of the species; quotas; management practices; legal protection; local community involvement; and use of hunting fees for conservation"

#	Practices	Page(s)
1	Quotas are in line with or reduced to the maximum harvest guideline: 1 lion/2,000 km2 in most areas or 1	80016, 80019
	lion/1,000 km2 in areas with high density; areas smaller than 1,000 km2 are allocated the "equivalent fractional	
	quota limit," i.e., 0.1 lion for an area of 200 km2 (1 lion tag every 10 years)	
2	Quotas are adaptively set and managed and fluctuate annually based on population trends (although "every lion"	80019, 80055
	does not need to be counted, scientific trend data must be considered in quota setting)	
3	Quotas are not fixed (meaning the operator does not need to pay any percentage upfront)	80021
4	Quotas do not include females	80021
5	Quotas are based on scientific information considering all offtakes including PAC, translocation, other hunting,	80016, 80019
	culling, etc.	
6	Quotas are approved by an independent (presumably scientific) body	80019
7	Quota-setting procedures are "clearly outlined, transparent, accountable" and CITES-compliant	80019
8	Quotas are set and management decisions are made on an annual basis, from "standardiz[ed]" information sources;	80018-19
	these sources are developed from consistent scientific monitoring and using hunting data which is "collected from	
	each hunting block and concession" and analyzed from the prior year	
9	Trophies are independently evaluated for compliance	80019
10	Data on trophies (e.g. age, sex, origin) is available nationally and internationally	80020
11	Where they can be identified, pride lions are not harvested	80021
12	Lion hunts are required to be a minimum length of 21 days	80021
13	The national lion management plan limits hunting to male lions above a specific, regionally determined age	80020, 80050
14	Age restrictions are implemented and enforced	80019-21,
		80050
15	National environmental legislation includes provisions to address the "main threats affecting lions: habitat loss,	80030
	human–lion conflict, and loss of prey base"	
16	The wildlife management authority is properly funded	80019-20
17	Scientific information that is then used for management decisions and quotas is collected from "standardiz[ed]	80019
	sources	

18	Efforts are being made to reduce corruption (in part by these record-keeping/transparency measures)	80019, 80021-
		22
19	Wildlife authorities react effectively when problem lion (e.g., "chronic livestock raiders") are reported	80036
20	Compensation systems are in place (perhaps funded by safari hunting operators) for livestock and human losses to	80036, 80051
	communities	
21	Hunting revenues pay for anti-poaching and law enforcement	80018

Table 2

#	Practices (Page)	Representative Citations	Implementers	Currently Doing	Currently Not	
"w	"whether the program is based on sound scientific information and identifies mechanisms that would arrest the loss of habitat or increase available habitat (i.e., by establishing protected areas and ensuring adequate protection from human encroachment"					
1	Hunting revenue is used to "build and maintain fences, provide security personnel with weapons and vehicles, provide resources for anti-poaching activities, and provide[] resources for habitat acquisition and management" (p. 80018)	Chardonnet et al. 2010, pp. 33– 34; Newmark 2008, p. 321	Government; Operators; Communities	Save Valley Conservancy, Zimbabwe		
2	Efforts are made to encourage habitat connectivity and development of buffer zones around protected areas (which in turn encourages dispersal and genetic viability) (p. 80018, 80034, 80036)	Chardonnet et al. 2010, p. 34; Newmark 2008, p. 321; Jones et al. 2012, pp. 469–470	Government; Operators; Communities			
3	Community incentives are strong enough to encourage development of wildlife/game management areas (p. 80017, 80035-36)	Chardonnet et al. 2010, p. 34; Packer et al. 2006, pp. 9– 10	Government, Communities			
4	Appropriate efforts are made to reduce human encroachment into protected areas (p. 80034)	Mesochina et al. 2010a and b; Treves et al. 2009, pp. 60, 64	Government			
5	The national lion management plan is based on scientifically sound data; the plan is being implemented to address threats facing lion within that country; and the plan's implementation is tracked and documented (p. 80053)		Government			

#	Practices (Page)	Representative Citations	Implementers	Currently Doing	Currently Not		
"w	"whether the management program actively address the loss of the lion's prey base by addressing [bushmeat] poaching or unsustainable offtake within the country"						
1	Laws against bushmeat poaching are consistently enforced (p. 80011)		Government				
2	Penalties for bushmeat poaching are deterrent (p. 80011)		Government				
3	Hunting program is science-based and adaptively managed		Government;				
	(p. 80019-21)		Operators				
4	Hunting operators assist in reducing human-wildlife conflict and retaliatory killing by helping avoid depredation (p. 80012)		Operators				
inc	"whether there are government incentives in place that enco entives to local communities to reduce the incursion of livesto w		• •				
1	Communities are given rights over land to conservation wildlife (p. 80011, 80035)	Lindsey et al. 2012b, pp. 36– 41; Lindsey et al. 2013b, p. 88	Government				
2	Communities develop alternate industries to reduce their dependence on bushmeat (p. 80035)		Government; Communities; NGOs				
3	Communities "assist in the management of protected areas," which gives them "a direct stake in the management of wildlife areas" and opens some economic opportunities (p. 80035)	Hazzah 2013, pp. 1, 8; Lindsey et al. 2012b, p. 53; Bandyopadhyay et al. 2010, p. 5	Government; Communities; NGOs				
	"whether hunting concessions / tracts are managed to en	sure the long-term	survival of the lion	n, its prey base, and	d habitat"		
1	Hunting concessions are allocated after considering the "operator's track record in conservation" (p. 80022)	Lindsey et al. 2013a, pp. 2, 9; Lindsey et al. 2007, p. 2	Government; Operators	Zimbabwe			
2	Hunting concessions are allocated according to a "fully		Government;				
	transparent system" that allows international "hunters to		Operators				

#	Practices (Page)	Representative Citations	Implementers	Currently Doing	Currently Not
	choose operators who have demonstrated a commitment to				
	conservation principles" and which "provide[s] incentives for				
	operators to comply with the recommended best practices"				
	(p. 80020)				
	"if the trophy hunting provides financial assistance to the wil	dlife department to	o carry out elemen	ts of the managem	ent program"
1	Hunting revenue accrues to the wildlife authority for		Government		
	management activities (p. 80018, 80051, 80054)				
2	The wildlife authority's budgeting and spending is transparent (80019-20)		Government		
	"if there is a compensation scheme or other incentives t	to benefit commun	ities that may be i	mpacted by lion pre	edation"
1	Community compensation and benefits sharing is	Lindsey et al.	Government;		
_	transparent (p. 80018)	2013a, pp. 2– 3,	Operators;		
	,	9; Packer 2015,	Communities		
		pers. comm.			
2	Community benefits are incorporated into a country's	Lindsey et al.	Government		"Many" range
	hunting regulations, land management policies, and lion	2013a, pp. 2–3;			nations;
	conservation action plans and National Poverty Reduction	Zambia Wildlife			Tanzania;
	Strategies (p. 80018)	Authority 2009,			Zambia
		p. 10; Windhoek			
		2008, p. 18;			
		IUCN 2006a, pp.			
		22, 24; IUCN			
		2006b, pp. 23,			
		28; Zimbabwe			
		Parks and Wildlife			
		Management Authority 2006,			
		unpaginated			
3	Communities actually receive a significant share of revenues	White 2013, p.	Government;	Namibia; Save	Botswana;
	20a		1		Tanzania;
	from non-consumptive and consumptive uses of wildlife (n.	l 21: Martin	Operators:	i vallev	i alizallia.
	from non-consumptive and consumptive uses of wildlife (p. 80017-18), rather than the government retaining a	21; Martin 2012, p. 57; Kiss	Operators; Communities	Valley Conservancy,	
	from non-consumptive and consumptive uses of wildlife (p. 80017-18), rather than the government retaining a "significant" share (p. 80011, 80014, 80017-18)	21; Martin 2012, p. 57; Kiss [editor] 1990,	Communities	Conservancy, Zimbabwe	Zambia; Zimbabwe

#	Practices (Page)	Representative	Implementers	Currently Doing	Currently Not	
		Cream 2012 n				
		Groom 2013, p.				
		5; Lindsey et al. 2013b, p. 88;				
		Hazzah et al.				
		2014, p. 852				
4	Communities benefit from provision of game meat (perhaps	White 2013, p.	Government;		Zambia	
4	as required by law / lease) (p. 80018)	21	Operators;		Zambia	
	as required by law / lease) (p. 80018)	21	Communities			
5	Communities benefit from the trophy hunting industry by		Government;			
	employment opportunities and revenue generated for local		Operators;			
	microbusinesses (perhaps as required by law / lease) (p.		Communities			
	80018)		Communities			
	"how a U.S. hunter's participation in the hunting program	contributes to the	overall manageme	ent of lions within a	a country"	
1	Hunting data is collected, recorded, and analyzed by block	Burnett and	Government;		<u> </u>	
1	and concession (p. 80019)	Patterson 2005,	Operators			
	απα concession (μ. 80013)	p. 103	Operators			
2	Monitoring systems are in place and provide consistent	Burnett and	Government;			
	reporting (p. 80019)	Patterson 2005,	Operators			
	reporting (p. 00013)	p. 103	Operators			
3	"Importing countries should have the ability to ascertain	Lindsey et al.				
	that the imported trophies originated from hunting	2007, p. 3;				
	concessions that fully comply with best practices" (p. 80020)	Lindsey et al.				
	consessions that rany comply with sest practices (preseze)	2006, pp. 285,				
		288				
	"[m]anagement programs for P. I. melanochaita would be expected to address, but are not limited to: evaluating population levels and trends; the biological needs of the species; quotas; management practices; legal protection; local community involvement; and use of hunting fees for conservation"					
1	Quotas are in line with or reduced to the maximum harvest	Packer 2011;	Government	Mozambique	Namibia;	
	guideline: 1 lion/2,000 km² in most areas or 1 lion/1,000 km²	Bauer 2015,		(Niassa National	Mozambique	
	in areas with high density; areas smaller than 1,000 km ² are	pers. comm.;		Reserve only)	(outside Niassa);	
	allocated the "equivalent fractional quota limit," i.e., 0.1 lion	Henschel			Tanzania;	
	for an area of 200 km ² (1 lion tag every 10 years) (p. 80016,	2015, pers.			Zambia;	
	80019)	comm.; Packer			Zimbabwe (has	
		et al. 2015,			reduced quota)	

#	Practices (Page)	Representative Citations	Implementers	Currently Doing	Currently Not
		per comm.; Creel & Creel 1997, p. 83; Lindsey et al.			("most range countries")
2	Quotas are adaptively set and managed and fluctuate annually based on population trends (although "every lion" does not need to be counted, scientific trend data must be considered in quota setting) (p. 80019, 80055)	2013a, p. 8 Hunter et al. 2013, p. 5	Government		
3	Quotas are not fixed (meaning the operator does not need to pay any percentage upfront) (p. 80021)	Lindsey et al. 2013a, pp. 2–3, 9; Hunter et al. 2013, p. 6; Packer et al. 2006, pp. 5, 9	Government	Mozambique	Namibia; Tanzania; Zambia; Zimbabwe
4	Quotas do not include females (p. 80021)	Hunter et al. 2013, pp. 2, 5; Lindsey et al. 2013a, pp. 2, 9	Government	Other range nations	Namibia
5	Quotas are based on scientific information considering all offtakes including PAC, translocation, other hunting, culling, etc. (p. 80016, 80019)	WWF 1997, pp. 8–10	Government		
6	Quotas are approved by an independent (presumably scientific) body (p. 80019)	Burnett and Patterson 2005, p. 103	Government; Independent Body		
7	Quota-setting procedures are "clearly outlined, transparent, accountable" and CITES-compliant (p. 80019)	Burnett and Patterson 2005, p. 103	Government		
8	Quotas are set and management decisions are made on an annual basis, from "standardiz[ed]" information sources; these sources are developed from consistent scientific monitoring and using hunting data which is "collected from each hunting block and concession" and analyzed from the prior year (p. 80018-19)	Henschel pers. comm. 2015	Government; Operators (reporting requirements)		

#	Practices (Page)	Representative Citations	Implementers	Currently Doing	Currently Not
9	Trophies are independently evaluated for compliance (p.	Henschel pers.	Government;		
	80019)	comm. 2015	Independent		
			Body		
10	Data on trophies (e.g. age, sex, origin) is available nationally	Henschel pers.	Government;		
	and internationally (p. 80020)	comm. 2015	Operators		
11	Where they can be identified, pride lions are not harvested	Packer et al.	Operators		
	(p. 80021)	2006, p. 7;			
		Whitman 2004,			
		pp. 176-77;			
		Davidson et al.			
		2011, p. 114			
12	Lion hunts are required to be a minimum length of 21 days	Lindsey et al.	Government;		
	(p. 80021)	2013a, pp. 2, 9;	Operators		
		Lindsey et al.			
		2007, p. 2			
13	The national lion management plan limits hunting to male	Loveridge et al.	Government;		
	lions above a specific, regionally determined age (p. 80020,	2007, p. 549;	Operators		
	80050)	Whitman et al.			
		2004, p. 177;			
		Packer et al.			
		2006, p. 7;			
		Lindsey et al.			
		2013a, p. 8;			
		Packer &			
		Whitman 2006;			
		Hunter et al.			
4.4	Assumptions are invalenced to the formal to a contract of the	2013, pp. 4–5	C	NA	N.A a walk 's
14	Age restrictions are implemented and enforced (p. 80019-	Loveridge et al.	Government;	Mozambique	Mozambique
	21, 80050)	2007, p. 549;	Operators	(Niassa National	(outside Niassa
		Whitman et al.		Reserve only);	reserve);
		2004, p. 177;		Tanzania (to an	Tanzania (not
		Packer et al.		extent);	fully
		2006, p. 7;		Zimbabwe	implemented)
		Lindsey et al.			
		2013a, p. 8;			

#	Practices (Page)	Representative	Implementers	Currently Doing	Currently Not
		Citations			
		Packer &			
		Whitman 2006			
15	National environmental legislation includes provisions to	ECOLEX	Government;		
	address the "main threats affecting lions: habitat loss,	Database Search	Operators		
	human–lion conflict, and loss of prey base" (p. 80030)				
16	The wildlife management authority is properly funded		Government		
17	Scientific information that is then used for management	Burnett and	Government;		
	decisions and quotas is collected from "standardiz[ed]	Patterson 2005,	Operators		
	sources (p. 80019)	p. 103			
18	Efforts are being made to reduce corruption (in part by	Lindsey et al.	Government		All range
	these record-keeping/transparency measures) (p. 80019,	2013a, pp. 2–3,			nations
	80021-22)	9; Smith et al.			
		2003, p. 69;			
		Garnett et al.			
		2011, p. 1;			
		Smith &			
		Walpole 2005,			
		p. 252; Packer			
		2015, pers.			
		comm.;			
		Transparency			
		International			
		2014,			
10	Mildlife and a street and affectively observation of the first	unpaginated	C		
19	Wildlife authorities react effectively when problem lion (e.g.,	Frank et al.	Government		
20	"chronic livestock raiders") are reported (p. 80036)	2006, p. 9 Dickman 2013,	Covernment		
20	Compensation systems are in place (perhaps funded by safari hunting operators) for livestock and human losses to	·	Government;		
	communities (p. 80036, 80051)	p. 383; Hazzah 2006, p. 45	Operators; Communities		
21	Hunting revenues pay for anti-poaching and law enforcement	Chardonnet et	Government;	Save Valley	
41	(p. 80018)	al. 2010, pp. 33–	Operators;	Conservancy,	
	(μ. ουστο)	34; Newmark	Communities	Zimbabwe	
		2008, p. 321	Communicies	Ziiiibabwe	
		2008, p. 321			

Table 3

Please note that there do not seem to be many additional or different criteria in the Proposed Rule.

#	Practices Practi	Page(s)
1	"[M]onitoring data used to determine quotas have included, but are not limited to, past hunting off-take records, trophy quality data, ground transect surveys, wildlife ranger and safari operator input, the species' reproductive biology, and aerial population census data, although usually aerial data is limited to species that can be easily observed from the air, such as elephants and buffalo."	64488
2	"In order for scientifically based quotas to result in offtake less than the growth rate of target specimens, many factors are evaluated including the species' biological factors (reproductive rate, gender, age, and behavior), as well as community and client objectives."	64489
3	 "[I]t has been reported that more protective standards and guidelines are implemented, such as the best practices listed below: "Minimum trophy quality, sizes, and standards; "Wildlife hunting regulations enacted and enforced; "Professional hunting associations formed; "Professional hunting training courses; "Professional hunter standards established; "Quota-setting procedures; "Compliance with CITES demonstrated; "Monitoring; and "Information and data collection and analysis." 	64491
4	"For the import of sport-hunted trophies, while there is evidence that many of the range countries are implementing lion management plans, we want to encourage and support efforts by these countries to develop plans that are based on sound scientific information. "Such management plans would be expected to address, but are not limited to, evaluating population levels and trends; the biological needs of the species; quotas; management practices; legal protection; local community involvement; and use of hunting fees for conservation."	64501